	Case 2:16-cv-00845-MMD-NJK	Document 1	7 Filed 05/10/16	Page 1 of 1
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA			
8	* * *			
9	BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.,		Case No. 2:16-cv	-00845-MMD-NJK
10	V.	Plaintiff,	(ORDER
11	TREO NORTH AND SOUTH			
12				
13	Г	Defendants.		
14				
15	The Magistrate Judge properly denied Defendant's motion to stay (ECF No.			
16	for failure to identify any legal authorities supporting its request to stay discovery.			
17	No. 15.) Defendant tried to cure the deficiencies of its initial motion in se			
	un no mai de matiem af the Maniet	مرام المامية	desision llevve	Defendent fo:

The Magistrate Judge properly denied Defendant's motion to stay (ECF No. 14) for failure to identify any legal authorities supporting its request to stay discovery. (ECF No. 15.) Defendant tried to cure the deficiencies of its initial motion in seeking reconsideration of the Magistrate Judge's decision. However, Defendant fails to demonstrate that the Magistrate Judge made a clear error in denying its legally deficient motion to stay. Defendant's motion for reconsideration (ECF No. 16) is therefore denied.

DATED THIS 10th day of May 2016.

18 l

MIRANDA M. DU UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

¹Magistrate judges are authorized to resolve pretrial matters subject to district court review under a "clearly erroneous or contrary to law" standard. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A); see also Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(a); L.R. IB 3-1(a) ("A district judge may reconsider any pretrial matter referred to a magistrate judge in a civil or criminal case pursuant to LR IB 1-3, where it has been shown that the magistrate judge's ruling is clearly erroneous or contrary to law.").